

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/893,943	PRABHU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andy Ho	2194

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Andy Ho. (3) _____.

(2) Cheryl Lee Young, Reg. No. 43,298. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims of the amendment filed 8/2/2006 are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 1 and 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.